

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,478	09/30/2003	Ali-Reza Adl-Tabatabai	42P17411	7528
8791 BLAKELY SC	7590 07/11/2007 OKOLOFF TAYLOR & Z	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			KROFCHECK, MICHAEL C	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007,	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/676,478	ADL-TABATABAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Krofcheck	2186				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 May 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.	D)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er .					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/2007</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/676,478 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 5/18/2007.

- 2. Claims 1, 15, 24, and 31 have been amended.
- 3. The objections/rejections from the prior correspondence not restated herein have been withdrawn.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 24, 31 objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. The status identifier of claim 31 is incorrect. It should be *Amended* not *Previously Presented*.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 2186

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 8, 10, 14-17, 21, 23-25, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimoi et al., U.S. Patent 5,652,857 (hereinafter Shimoi), and Corcoran et al., U.S. Patent 6,449,689 (hereinafter Corcoran).
- 8. With respect to claim 1, Shimoi teaches of a computer system comprising: a central processing unit (CPU) (fig. 1; item 16; column 8, lines 3 9); and

a cache memory, coupled to the CPU (fig. 1; item 28; column 8, lines 3 - 9), including: a main cache having a plurality of cache lines, each of the plurality of cache lines being compressible to form compressed cache lines to store additional data (fig. 4, 5, 7; column 10, lines 20 - 22; column 11, lines 2 - 11; where the cache memory (main cache) is divided into a non-compression data area and a compression data area. The logic block numbers (cache lines) #a, #b, #c, and #d are each compressed (not compressed cache lines) into a compression group. The compressed cache contains cache blocks 70-1 - 70-n (a compressed cache lines of cache lines));

a cache controller having compression logic to determine that a retrieved cache line is to be combined with a resident companion cache line to form the compressed cache line if the companion cache line is resident in the cache memory and to store the compressed cache line in the cache line of the resident companion (fig. 4, 7, items 48, 50, 52; column 10, lines 7-15, line 61-column 11, line 12; the compression circuit receives each block (cache line) from the cache memory and compresses each block

Art Unit: 2186

and stores them as a compression group (compressed cache line). As the individual blocks are located adjacent to one another, shown in fig. 7, and are compressed into the same group, they are "companion" lines. The compression group is stored in the cache line of the resident companion cache line as the originally uncompressed cache lines are all apart of the compression group).

Shimoi fails to specifically teach of a plurality of storage pools to hold a segment of the additional data for a compressed cache line.

However, Corcoran teaches of compressing data lines in a memory (fig. 2; column 4, lines 44 - 59) and a plurality of storage pools to hold a segment of the additional data for a compressed line (fig. 2; items 25; column 4, lines 44 - 49, and 60 - column 5, line 2; where any data blocks that fail to compress at least average will be partially stored in the overflow partition (storage pool)).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Shimoi and Corcoran at the time of the invention to incorporate the structure, and methods of operation of a dual partitioned storage device, the first partition comprising a compressed data track/line area and the second partition comprising an overflow area corresponding to those compressed data tracks, from Corcoran into the already partitioned and compressed cache memory of Shimoi. The motivation for this would have been to provide a more efficient data compression system that does not waste storage space (Corcoran column 1, lines 33 – 41; column 2, lines 18-19).

9. With respect to claim 15, Shimoi teaches of a cache memory comprising: a main cache having a plurality of cache lines, each of the plurality of cache lines being

Art Unit: 2186

compressible to form compressed cache lines to store additional data (fig. 4, 5, 7; column 10, lines 20 - 22; column 11, lines 2 - 11; where the cache memory (main cache) is divided into a non-compression data area and a compression data area. The logic block numbers (cache lines) #a, #b, #c, and #d are each compressed (not compressed cache lines) into a compression group. The compressed cache contains cache blocks 70-1 - 70-n (a compressed cache lines of cache lines)).

wherein a cache line is determined to be compressible if a companion cache line of a retrieved cache line is resident in the cache memory, and wherein the retrieved cache line and the companion cache line are combined and stored in the cache line of the resident companion if the cache line is determined to be compressible (fig. 4, 7; column 10, lines 7-15, line 61-column 11, line 12; the compression circuit receives each block (cache line) from the cache memory and compresses each block and stores them as a compression group (compressed cache line). The compression group is stored in the cache line of the resident companion cache line as the originally uncompressed cache lines are all apart of the compression group);

Shimoi fails to specifically teach of a plurality of storage pools to hold a segment of the additional data for a compressed cache line.

However, Corcoran teaches of compressing data lines in a memory (fig. 2; column 4, lines 44 - 59) and a plurality of storage pools to hold a segment of the additional data for a compressed line (fig. 2; items 25; column 4, lines 44 - 49, and 60 - column 5, line 2; where any data blocks that fail to compress at least average will be partially stored in the overflow partition (storage pool)).

Art Unit: 2186

10. With respect to claim 24, Shimoi teaches of a method comprising: compressing one or more of a plurality of cache lines to form one or more compressed cache lines to store additional data by: determining if a companion cache line of a retrieved cache line is resident in a cache memory, and wherein the retrieved cache line and the companion cache line are combined (fig. 4, 5, 7; column 10, lines 20 – 22; column 10, line 61 – column 11, line 12; the logic block numbers (cache lines) #a, #b, #c, and #d are each compressed into a compression group. The cache blocks 68-1 – 68-4 are compressed into compression group 70-n);

combining the retrieved cache line with the companion cache line if the companion cache line is determined to be compressible (fig. 4, 7; column 10, lines 7-15, line 61-column 11, line 12; the compression circuit receives each block (cache line) from the cache memory and compresses each block and stores them as a compression group (compressed cache line));

storing the combined cache line in the cache line of the resident companion (fig. 4, 7; column 10, lines 7-15, line 61-column 11, line 12; The compression group is stored in the cache line of the resident companion cache line as the originally uncompressed cache lines are all apart of the compression group).

Shimoi fails to specifically teach of storing a second component of the data in one or more of a plurality of storage pools.

However, Corcoran teaches of storing a first component of the data in a compressed memory (figs. 3A-C; column 5, lines 41 – 48; where the first portion of the compressed data is stored in a slot in the storage partition)

storing a second component of the data in one or more of a plurality of storage pools (figs. 3A-C; column 5, lines 41 - 48; where the second portion of the compressed data is stored in a slot in the overflow partition (storage pools)).

11. With respect to claim 31, Shimoi teaches of a computer system comprising: a central processing unit (CPU) (fig. 1; item 16; column 8, lines 3 - 9); and

a cache memory, coupled to the CPU (fig. 1; item 28; column 8, lines 3 - 9), including: a main cache having a plurality of cache lines, each of the plurality of cache lines being compressible to form compressed cache lines to store additional data (fig. 4, 5, 7; column 10, lines 20 – 22; column 11, lines 2 – 11; where the cache memory (main cache) is divided into a non-compression data area and a compression data area. The logic block numbers (cache lines) #a, #b, #c, and #d are each compressed (not compressed cache lines) into a compression group. The compressed cache contains cache blocks 70-1 – 70-n (a compressed cache lines of cache lines)), and

a main memory device coupled to the CPU (fig. 1; item 20; column 8, lines 3 - 9)

a cache controller having compression logic to determine that a retrieved cache line is to be combined with a resident companion cache line to form the compressed cache line if the companion cache line is resident in the cache memory and to store the compressed cache line in the cache line of the resident companion (fig. 4, 7, items 48, 50, 52; column 10, lines 7-15, line 61-column 11, line 12; the compression circuit receives each block (cache line) and compresses each block and stores them as a compression group (compressed cache line). The compression group is stored in the

Art Unit: 2186

cache line of the resident companion cache line as the originally uncompressed cache lines are all apart of the compression group).

Shimoi fails to specifically teach of a plurality of storage pools to hold a segment of the additional data for a compressed cache line.

However, Corcoran teaches of compressing data lines in a memory (fig. 2; column 4, lines 44 - 59) and a plurality of storage pools to hold a segment of the additional data for a compressed line (fig. 2; items 25; column 4, lines 44 - 49, and 60 - column 5, line 2; where any data blocks that fail to compress at least average will be partially stored in the overflow partition (storage pool)).

12. With respect to claims 2, 16, and 32, Shimoi, Corcoran teach of all the limitations of the parent claim as discussed supra. Shimoi fails to specifically teach of wherein each of the plurality of storage pools include a plurality of fixed width storage fields.

However, Corcoran teaches of wherein each of the plurality of storage pools include a plurality of fixed width storage fields (fig. 2; column 4, lines 44 – 49; where the blocks are a fixed-size).

13. With respect to claims 3, 17, 25, and 33, Shimoi, Corcoran teach of all the limitations of the parent claim as discussed supra. Shimoi teaches of a plurality of cache lines (fig. 7, items 70-1 - 70-n). Shimoi fails to explicitly teach of wherein the plurality of cache lines are included within a plurality of sets.

However, Corcoran teaches of wherein the plurality of data lines are included within a plurality of sets (fig. 2, column 4, lines 44 – 49; where one or more tracks (sets) contain data blocks (lines) B1-Bx).

14. With respect to claims 8 and 21, Shimoi, Corcoran teach of all the limitations of the parent claim as discussed supra. Shimoi fails to explicitly teach of wherein a storage pool is shared by two or more of the plurality of sets.

However, Corcoran teaches of wherein a storage pool is shared by two or more of the plurality of sets (fig. 1; column 5, lines 62 – 66; in response to an overflow situation, the controller finds empty slots in the overflow partition and provides the address of the slots where overflow data can be written. Therefore, the slots in the overflow partition are shared by all of the tracks (sets)).

15. With respect to claims 14 and 23, Shimoi, Corcoran teach of all the limitations of the parent claim as discussed supra. Shimoi fails to explicitly teach of wherein a storage pool is shared by all of the plurality of sets.

However, Corcoran teaches of wherein a storage pool is shared by all of the plurality of sets (fig. 1; column 5, lines 62 – 66; in response to an overflow situation, the controller finds empty slots in the overflow partition and provides the address of the slots where overflow data can be written. Therefore, the slots in the overflow partition are shared by all of the tracks (sets)).

- 16. With respect to claim 10, Shimoi, Corcoran teach of all the limitations of the parent claim as discussed supra. Shimoi teaches of a cache controller coupled to the cache memory (fig. 1; item 26; column 8, lines 3 9).
- 17. Claims 4 7, 9, 18 20, 22, and 26 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimoi, Corcoran, as applied to claims 3, 8, 17, 21, and 25, respectively, and further in view of Obara U.S. Patent 6,115,787 (hereinafter Obara).

With respect to claims 4, 18, and 26, Shimoi, Corcoran, teach of all the limitations 18. of the parent claim as discussed supra. The combination of Shimoi, Corcoran fail to specifically teach of wherein a storage pool is allocated to each of the plurality of sets.

However, Obara teaches of wherein a storage pool is allocated to each of the plurality of sets (fig. 1; column 10, lines 41 - 59; where the second of each cache segment pair (storage pool) is used to store overflow data unable to be stored in the primary cache segment (set)).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Shimoi, Corcoran, and Obara at the time of the invention to pair the overflow blocks in the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran with individual tracks the way an overflow cache segment is paired with a primary cache segment in Obara. The motivation for this would have been to more efficiently operate the cache memory (Obara column 1, lines 10 - 26) by guaranteeing that a cache segment has space for an overflow if needed.

With respect to claims 6 and 20, Shimoi, Corcoran teach of all the limitations of 19. the parent claim as discussed supra. The combination of Shimoi and Corcoran fail to specifically teach of wherein multiple storage fields within each storage pool is allocated a line within one of the plurality of sets.

However, Obara teaches of wherein multiple storage fields within each storage pool is allocated a line within one of the plurality of sets (fig. 1; column 12, lines 11-43; where each block in the secondary cache segment (storage field) corresponds to a cache block (cache line) in the primary cache segment (set)).

Art Unit: 2186

The combination of Shimoi and Corcoran, and Obara are analogous arts as they are both in the same field of endeavor, storing compressed data in a cache memory. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Shimoi, Corcoran, and Obara at the time of the invention to link the overflow blocks to the cache storage blocks in the combination of Shimoi and Corcoran as is done in Obara. The motivation for this would have been to eliminate the need to store pointers in the cache memory to indicate the corresponding block, which takes up valuable space and overhead (Obara column 12, lines 29 - 43).

20. With respect to claim 7, the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran, and Obara teach of all the limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. The combination of Shimoi, Corcoran fail to specifically teach of wherein each storage field mapped to one of the plurality of sets is sorted according to a logical ordering.

However, Obara teaches of wherein each storage field mapped to one of the plurality of sets is sorted according to a logical ordering (fig. 1; column 12, lines 11-43; where each block in the secondary cache segment (storage field) is ordered to match the blocks (cache lines) in the primary cache segment (sets)).

21. With respect to claims 5, 19, and 27, the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran, and Obara teach of all the limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Corcoran teaches of wherein an indicator is associated with each storage field of a storage pool to indicate a line within one of the plurality of sets to which a storage field is assigned (fig. 2; column 4, lines 50 – 59; where the address pointers (indicators) are "associated" with the overflow slots (storage fields). By being stored in their data block (line) the address

Art Unit: 2186

pointers "indicate" the data block within the track (set) that corresponds to the overflow slots).

22. With respect to claim 28, Shimoi, Corcoran teach of all the limitations of the parent claim as discussed supra. The combination of Shimoi, Corcoran fail to specifically teach of allocating a storage pool to a line within one of the plurality of sets.

However, Obara teaches of allocating a storage pool to a line within one of the plurality of sets (fig. 1; column 12, lines 11-43; where each block in the secondary cache segment (storage pool) corresponds to a cache block (cache line) in the primary cache segment (set)).

23. With respect to claim 29, the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran, and Obara teach of all the limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. The combination of Shimoi, Corcoran fail to specifically teach of mapping each storage field to one of the plurality of sets.

However, Obara teaches of mapping each storage field to one of the plurality of sets (fig. 1; column 12, lines 11-43; where each block in the secondary cache segment (storage field) corresponds to a cache block (cache line) in the primary cache segment (set). Each cache block in the secondary cache segment (storage field) therefore is mapped to that primary cache segment (set)).

24. With respect to claims 9, and 22, the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran teach of all the limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. The combination of Shimoi, Corcoran fails to specifically teach of wherein an indicator is associated with each line of

dation, control (value): 10,07

a storage pool to indicate which of the plurality of sets to which a storage field is assigned.

However, Obara teaches of wherein an indicator is associated with each line of a storage pool to indicate which of the plurality of sets to which a storage field is assigned (figs. 1-4; column 11, lines 6 – 48; where the primary SGCB entry indicates the address for the primary cache segment (set) as well as the address for the secondary SGCB entry which in turn contains the address for the secondary cache segment (storage pool). The blocks (lines) within the secondary cache segment correspond to the same blocks (lines) within the primary cache segment; thereby being linked through the SGCB entries).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Shimoi, Corcoran and Obara at the time of the invention to link the overflow blocks to the cache storage blocks in the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran, by the SGCB entries as is done in Obara. The motivation for this would have been to eliminate the need to store pointers in the cache memory to indicate the corresponding block, which takes up valuable space and overhead (Obara column 12, lines 29 - 43).

25. With respect to claim 30, the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran, and Obara teach of all the limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. The combination of Shimoi, Corcoran, fails to specifically teach of associating an indicator with each line of a storage pool to indicate which of the plurality of sets to which a storage field is assigned.

Art Unit: 2186

However, Obara teaches of associating an indicator with each line of a storage pool to indicate which of the plurality of sets to which a storage field is assigned (figs. 1-4; column 11, lines 6 – 48; where the primary SGCB entry indicates the address for the primary cache segment (set) as well as the address for the secondary SGCB entry which in turn contains the address for the secondary cache segment (storage pool). The blocks (lines) within the secondary cache segment correspond to the same blocks (lines) within the primary cache segment; thereby being linked through the SGCB entries).

- 26. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimoi, Corcoran, as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Cypher, U.S. Patent 6.629.205 (hereinafter Cypher).
- 27. With respect to claim 11, Shimoi, Corcoran, teach of all the limitations of the parent claim as discussed supra. Shimoi teaches of a cache controller to accesses the cache (fig. 1; column 8, lines 3 9). Shimoi fails to explicitly teach of accessing cache lines and storage pools in parallel.

However, Cypher teaches of accessing different cache memory partitions in parallel (fig. 1; column 4, lines 39 – 50; column 7, lines 42 – 46; where the cache controller is capable of simultaneously accessing cache tags associated with different cache classes).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Shimoi, Corcoran, and Cypher at the time of the invention to apply the concept and means for simultaneous accessing multiple cache memory partitions as taught in

Art Unit: 2186

Cypher in the cache controller of the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran. The motivation for this would have been to increase the snoop bandwidth, thus increasing the efficiency

of the cache memory (Cypher column 2, lines 49-58).

28. With respect to claim 12, the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran, and Cypher teach

of all the limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. The combination of

Shimoi, Corcoran, fails to explicitly teach of wherein accessing the cache lines and

storage pools in parallel comprises the cache controller simultaneously dispatching set

bits to the cache lines and storage pools.

Cypher also teaches of wherein accessing different cache memory partitions in

parallel comprises simultaneously dispatching set bits to the different cache memory

partitions (figs. 4, 5; column 6, lines 13 - 23; where the controller concurrently conveys

the index addresses (set bits) to the separate memory partitions).

29. With respect to claim 13, the combination of Shimoi, Corcoran, and Cypher teach

of all the limitations of the parent claims as discussed supra. Shimoi fails to specifically

teach of wherein the cache controller accesses the cache lines and storage pools

serially.

However, Corcoran teaches of wherein the controller accesses the data lines and

storage pools serially (figs. 1, 3a-c; column 5, lines 41 - 65; where the data is

compressed and too large to fit in only the slot in the storage partition. The 1st part of

the compressed data is stored in the storage partition and then the disk controller

locates an empty slot in the overflow partition and provides the address of the empty

slots. The remaining data is then stored in the overflow slots).

Page 16

Application/Control Number: 10/676,478

Art Unit: 2186

Response to Arguments

30. Applicant's arguments filed 5/18/2007 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

31. The applicant argues that Shimoi, Corcoran, and Naffziger fail to teach of

determining that a retrieved cache line is to be combined with a resident companion

cache line to form a compressed cache line if the companion cache line is resident in

the cache memory and storing the compressed cache line in the cache line of the

resident companion. The examiner disagrees. This is taught by Shimoi, column 10 line

61-column 11, line 12. The cache lines are retrieved from the cache memory and

combined together to form a compression group (compressed cache line). Each cache

line can be considered a resident companion cache line to the others since they are

initially resident in the cache memory and in the end form a compression group

together, thus are companions of each other. The compressed group is then stored in

as cache line in the compressed memory. Since those companion cache lines are apart

of this group and together form the compressed cache line, the group is stored in the

resident companion cache line.

32. It appears to the examiner that the applicant is using the location of the stored

compressed cache line to distinguish this invention over the prior art. The examiner

suggests further clarifying that the stored location of the compressed cache line is the

same exact location in the cache memory that the resident companion cache line was

stored prior to the compression, if that is the applicant's intentions.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,478 Page 17

Art Unit: 2186

Conclusion

33. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

34. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

35. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Krofcheck whose telephone number is 571-272-

8193. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

37. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 18

Application/Control Number: 10/676,478

Art Unit: 2186

38. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Krofcheck

MATTHEW KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100